

RESPONSE AND REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Examiner objected to Claims 1, 4, and 8, stating that, "[f]or example, claim 1, line 5 recites 'the secured paper control' and stating that 'it should have been --said secured paper control--.'" The Examiner stated that "Claims 4 and 8 are objected under the same rational." The Examiner required correction to resolve the objections. The Examiner also stated that Claims 1-20 are allowed over the prior art of record.


The Examiner's objection is understood as referring to the use of both the words "the" and "said" in the same Claim to refer back to an element for which antecedent basis exists. In view of the Examiner's objection, Claims 1, 4 and 8, as well as dependent Claims 2 and 5 and Claims 18, 19 and 20, have been amended so that the word "the", instead of the word "said", is consistently used in Claims 1, 4, 8, 18, 19, and 20, and the claims that are dependent on them, to refer back to elements for which antecedent basis exists.

In view of the foregoing amendments, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the present application be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

KHORSANDI PATENT LAW GROUP, ALC

By



Marilyn R. Khorsandi
Reg. No. 45,744
626/796-2856